ORIGINAL



known as the Maricopa Water D Summaries of James R. Sweeney, Ja RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT	COMPANY,) ION, FOR) IH A) ITH MARICOPA) MBER ONE TO) OF A SURFACE) NOTICE OF FILING TESTIMONY SUMMARIES
7 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER OF INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION APPROVALS ASSOCIATED WITH PROPOSED TRANSACTION WITH COUNTY MUNICIPAL WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT NUMBER ALLOW THE CONSTRUCTION OF WATER TREATMENT FACILITY THE WHITE TANKS PROJECT. 13 The Maricopa County Municipal Water Description of James R. Sweeney, James Proposed Summaries of James R. Sweeney, J	COMPANY,) (ON, FOR) (H A) (H MARICOPA) (MBER ONE TO) (OF A SURFACE) (DF A SURFACE)
The Maricopa County Mun known as the Maricopa Water D Summaries of James R. Sweeney, James Paragraphy 19 RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT	
20	nicipal Water Conservation District Number One, commonly District ("District" or "MWD"), hereby files the Testimony ames P. Albu, John Mastracchio and William C. Davis. TED this 15 th day of March 2007.
21 22 23 24 25 Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED MAR 15 2007 27	ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC By Michael W. Patten Timothy J. Sabo One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

1	filed this 15 th day of March 2007 with:
2	Docket Control
3	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed this 15 th day of March 2007 to:
6	
7	Chairman Mike Gleason Arizona Corporation Commission
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
9	Mr. Ken Rozen, Aide to Chairman Gleason
10	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
11	Phoenix, AZ 85007
12	Commissioner William Mundell Arizona Corporation Commission
13	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
14	Mr. Adam Stafford, Aide to Commissioner Mundell
15	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
16	Phoenix, AZ 85007
17	Commissioner Jeff-Hatch-Miller Arizona Corporation Commission
18	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
19	Mr. Dean Miller, Aide to Commissioner Hatch-Miller
20	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
21	Phoenix, AZ 85007
22	Commissioner Kristin K. Mayes Arizona Corporation Commission
23	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
24	Mr. Matt Derr, Aide to Commissioner Mayes
25	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
26	Phoenix, AZ 85007

1	Commissioner Gary Pierce
2	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
3	1 Hoemx, 732 65007
4	Mr. John LeSueur, Aide to Commissioner Pierce Arizona Corporation Commission
5	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
6	Craig. A. Marks, Esq. Craig A. Marks PLC
7	3420 East Shea Blvd, Suite 200
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85028
9	Sheryl A. Sweeney, Esq. Michele L. Van Quathem, Esq.
10	Ryley Carlock & Applewhite One North Central Ave, Ste. 1200
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4417
12	Scott S. Wakefield, Esq. Chief Counsel
12 13 14	Residential Utility Consumer Office
1.4	1110 West Washington, Ste. 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
14	Jeffrey W. Crockett, Esq.
15	Bradley S. Carroll, Esq.
16	Snell & Wilmer LLP 400 East Van Buren
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
18	Mr. David W. Prescott Vice President
19	Trend Homes, Inc. 890 W. Elliot Road
20	Gilbert, Arizona 85233
20	Teena Wolf, Esq.
21	Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division
22	Arizona Corporation Commission
23	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
24	Christopher C. Kempley
25	Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
26	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1	Ernest G. Johnson, Esq.
2	Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
3	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona.85007
4	Franklyn D. Jeans, Esq. Beus Gilbert PLLC
5	4800 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 6000 Scottsdale, AZ 8521
6	Scousdale, AZ 6521
7	Derek L. Sorenson, Esq. Quarles & Brady Streich Lang
8	Two North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004
9	David M. Palzik, Esq. Greenberg Traurig LLP
10	2375 East Camelback Road, Suite 700 Phoenix, AZ 85016
11	Filoelix, AZ 63010
12	By Debbu Arnaul

5 6 7 8 9 10 ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

1

2

3

A. Summary Of Testimony Given By James R. Sweeney, General Manager Of MWD.

1. Direct Testimony

Mr. Sweeney summarized his testimony as follows:

- I describe the District, including a history of our long-standing involvement in providing surface water to the District lands.
- I provide an overview of our proposed surface water treatment plant, and I describe our goals for the plant and how it fits into the purpose of the District.
- I describe why our proposal is superior to the proposal of Arizona-American Water Company ("Arizona-American" or "AAW").
- I respond to Arizona-American's request for a large increase in their hook-up fees.
- I describe our offer to provide interim water to Arizona-American.
- I provide a response to the Staff Report filed in this case.
- I provide a specific answer to each of the seven questions posed by Arizona-American.

Mr. Sweeny also requested that the Commission take the following actions:

- (1) Deny Arizona-American's request to increase its hook-up fees;
- (2) Deny Arizona-American's request for special treatment for AFUDC and AIAC;
- (3) Grant approval to Arizona-American to reflect the margin credit on customer bills;
- (4) Order Arizona-American to cooperate in using its bills to return the margin credit to qualifying customers.
- 2. Surrebuttal Testimony

Mr. Sweeney explains MWD's 70 years of service, and commits that MWD will not walk away from the project if the Commission rules in MWD's favor. Mr. Sweeney also explains that MWD's plan is better for customers because it will return credits to landowners, and because it will cost less. For example, Mr. Sweeney explains that MWD will have lower power costs. Mr. Sweeney recommends that the Commission deny Arizona-American's application. However, if the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Commission does approve the application, the District recommends the following customer safeguards:

- (1) Any hook-up fees collected by Arizona-American should be subject to refund, should the Commission determine in a rate case that lower fees are appropriate, or should the courts find the fee increase to be invalid.
- (2) To guarantee Arizona-American's ability to make the refund, it should be ordered to post a bond in the amount of the estimated hook-up fee collections for the next five years.
- The Commission should make clear that O&M costs for Arizona-American's plant (3) will be evaluated under Commission's traditional ratemaking methods.
- The Commission should rule that no portion of the cost of Arizona-American's **(4)** plant will be allowed in rate base.

B. Summary Of Testimony Given By James P. Albu, P.E., Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

1. Direct Testimony

Mr. Albu explains the location, timing and cost of MWD's Facility. He also provides a direct cost comparison between MWD's Facilities and Arizona-American's proposed plant. He estimates that MWD's plant will cost about \$47.6 million, while Arizona-American's will cost \$56.7 million.

2. Surrebuttal Testimony Filed On March 12, 2007

Mr. Albu provides a point-by-point response to the Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Gross. He updates his cost comparison as follows:

•	MWD	Arizona-American
Capacity	20 MGD	13.5 MGD
Cost (2006 dollars)	\$47.6 million	\$54.3 million
Cost per Gallon of Capacity	\$2.38	\$4.02

ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 EAST VAN BUREN STREET - SUITE 800 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85004 TELEPHONE NO 602-256-6100 FACSIMILE 602-256-6800

Mu Mastroachia af the intermetional ancineraina firm Malaslus Dirmia Inc. mananda ta the
Mr. Mastracchio, of the international engineering firm Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. responds to the
rebuttal testimony of Mr. Broderick, and provides a comparison of the rate impacts to customers of
MWD's and Arizona-American's proposals. He estimates that customers will pay the following
capital costs (over 30 years) under MWD's and Arizona-American's proposals:
MWD \$3,463
Arizona-American \$5,880
He also explains three ways that Arizona-American's proposals could result in direct rate increases
in addition to the capital payments described above.
D. Summary Of Testimony Given By William C. Davis, CFA, Piper Jaffrey & Co.
Mr. Davis testifies about the MWD's proposed financing, and states that MWD is in a
strong financial position and is creditworthy.